

Perturbative analysis on infrared aspects of noncommutative QED on R^4

M. Hayakawa *

Theory Division, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

December 18, 1999

Abstract

Here we examine the noncommutative counterpart of QED, which is called as noncommutative QED. The theory is obtained by examining the consistent minimal coupling to noncommutative U(1) gauge field. The $\star$ -product admits the coupling of the matter with only three varieties of charges, i.e., 0, +1 and -1 . Ultraviolet divergence can be absorbed into the rescaling of the fields and the parameters at least at one loop level. To examine the infrared aspect of the theory the anomalous magnetic dipole moment is calculated. The dependence on the direction of photon momentum reflects the Lorentz symmetry violation of the system. The explicit calculation of the finite part of the photon vacuum polarization shows the infrared singularity like $\ln(q \cdot C^T C \cdot q)$ ($C^{\mu\nu}$ is a noncommutative parameter.) which also exists in noncommutative Yang-Mills theory. It is associated with the ultraviolet behavior of the theory. We also consider the extension to chiral gauge theory in the present context, but the requirement of anomaly cancellation allows only noncommutative QED.

PACS: 11.15.Bt, 11.10.Gh, 11.25.Db

Keywords: Noncommutative field theory; Quantum electrodynamics; Perturbation theory; Renormalization; Anomalous magnetic dipole moment; Chiral gauge theory

1 Introduction

Noncommutative Yang-Mills (NCYM) theory attracts one's interest after it emerged in the BPS solution of Matrix theory [2, 3], and is realized as the effective theory around one of the perturbative vacua of superstring theory with constant B background [4]. Prior to such recent development noncommutative geometry and the construction of field theory on it has been developed [5]. Although the noncommutative geometry appearing in the context of perturbative superstring theory does not seem to have connection with quantum gravity, the general noncommutative geometry may accommodate the essential ingredients of quantum geometry (which we do not know at present) by its new machinery. Assuming the latter fact we are naturally inclined to investigate the quantum mechanical aspect of the theory defined on the noncommutative geometry in order to argue whether it really reflects the microscopic structure of our world. But the first thing to do is to analyze the simplest toy model and know that the theory is well defined even in the ultraviolet region. It is the most important subject to find out how the

*electric address: haya@post.kek.jp

infrared physics is affected, and whether it provides more desirable foundation for constructing the concrete model.

NCYM theory is widely discussed as it appears in string theory. The realization of such a theory on the set of the ordinal functions modifies the product of two functions in terms of “starred” (mentioned hereafter as “ $\ast$ ”) product. Here we consider how the matter field couples to Yang-Mills field in such a manner that the theory respects this rule of product as well as the gauge symmetry. The simplest candidate would be a noncommutative counterpart of QED, in which electron with a definite charge is present. As will be shown in Sec. 2 inclusion of matters does not have so many varieties. In spite of the base manifold considered here being topologically trivial, charge is limited to three varieties; 0, 1 and -1 , the precise meaning of which is defined in Sec. 2. This is stronger requirement than the charge quantization on compact manifold such as torus. We expect that there would be Hilbert space realization for the theory with the fields of charge ± 1 on noncommutative geometry and a mapping rule to the function space on the usual coordinates as was found in NCYM theory. Thus we call the fields with charge $+1$ as “electron” and the theory including such a object and “photon” as noncommutative QED (NC-QED) in this paper. Note that the massive scalar fields receive quadratic divergence which leads us to lose control of ultraviolet (UV) divergence unless supersymmetry forbids its appearance. Contrarily the usual fermion mass receives only logarithmic divergence in four-dimensional QED or QCD. Nonlocal generalization of the interactions is then expected not to drastically modify the divergent structure as experienced in NCYM system, which also needs further clarification. Thus here the system involving fermions with charges ± 1 is examined primarily.

Although NCYM system is related to ordinary Yang-Mills system by rather complex map [6], there would be nontrivial quantum mechanical dynamics in NCYM without maximal supersymmetry. One aspect of NCYM theory has been argued to describe the large N ordinary Yang-Mills theory with a fixed t’Hooft coupling constant in the high momentum region [7, 8]. It relies on analysis of diagrams and the pattern of momentum-dependent phase factors appearing in it. More direct connection through the correlators of the gauge invariant operators is welcome in spite of curious nature of general Wilson loop operators in noncommutative Yang-Mills theory [8, 9, 10]. But it is beyond our present scope.

In order to access to the infrared side, the first thing we can do is to investigate the perturbative aspects. The perturbative analysis on UV structure of NCYM theory has been done in Ref. [11, 12]. The infrared side on NCYM as well as NC-QED is our primary concern. Naive continuation of asymptotically free nature [11] indicates the existence of such a dynamical scale as Λ_{QCD} at which the coupling constant diverges while naive commutative limit reduces to abelian gauge theory. The renormalization refers to the structures much higher than noncommutative energy scale. Thus the commutative limit of the renormalized quantum theory do not reduce it to its commutative counterpart even in the low momentum region. We would like to begin in this paper with seeking the dynamics which could not be reached in local field theory, by first examining a simple model, NC-QED.

The paper is organized as follows: Sec. 2 is concerned with construction of classical action involving the matter fields and showing that the allowed choice is quite limited. In Sec. 3 noncommutative QED theory is quantized and the anomalous magnetic dipole moment is calculated to see whether the radiative effect from noncommutative extension appears in the finite quantities. There the infrared behavior is further investigated by observing the finite part of the vacuum polarization of photon. The potentially appearing $1/\tilde{q}^2$ -singularity cancels among the diagrams, but the logarithmic infrared singularity is found, which also exists in NCYM theory.

Such a structure in the infrared side is connected to the UV side. The extension to the chiral gauge theory is also examined in Sec.4, but it is found that there is *no* chiral gauge theory. Sec. 5 is devoted to the discussion and conclusion of the present paper.

2 Noncommutative QED

Pure noncommutative U(1) Yang-Mills action

$$S_{YM} = \int d^d x \left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} \right) F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

(Space-time dimension d is set equal to four in final.) is nothing but the one obtained from the ordinary SU(N) Yang-Mills action by replacing the matrix multiplication to the “star” (hereafter referred as $*$ -)product

$$A * B(x) \equiv e^{\frac{1}{2i} C^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu^{(\xi)} \partial_\nu^{(\eta)}} A(x + \xi) B(x + \eta) \Big|_{\xi, \eta \rightarrow 0}, \quad (2)$$

with an antisymmetric matrix $C^{\mu\nu}$ which characterizes noncommutativity of space-time by modifying the algebra of functions. Even in U(1) case A_μ couples to itself since the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ of A_μ has the nonlinear term

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]_M, \quad (3)$$

where $[A, B]_M$ denotes Moyal bracket:

$$[A, B]_M = A * B - B * A. \quad (4)$$

The $*$ -product obeys the associative law which is also satisfied by the matrix algebra so that the manipulation in this case resembles the one experienced in the calculus of matrix. Thus it is quite simple to see the action (1) is invariant under

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A'(x) = U(x) * A_\mu(x) * U^{-1}(x) + iU(x) * \partial_\mu U^{-1}(x), \quad (5)$$

where $U(x) = (e^{i\theta(x)})_*$ is defined by an infinite series expansion of multiple $*$ -product of scalar function $\theta(x)$. $U^{-1}(x) = (e^{-i\theta(x)})_*$ is similarly defined and plays the role of the inverse of $U(x)$. Here and hereafter we assume that the fields decrease so promptly at infinity that the space-time integral of a Moyal bracket (which corresponds to the trace of the commutator in the “matrix language”) vanishes.

The coupling of “electron” to gauge field in noncommutative U(1) Yang-Mills theory receives a severe restriction. The gauge transformation (5) for the gauge field shows that the simple candidate of the interaction $\psi(x) * A_\mu(x)$ or $A_\mu(x) * \hat{\psi}$ implies that ψ and $\hat{\psi}$ must transform as

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = U(x) * \psi(x), \quad \hat{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \hat{\psi}'(x) = \hat{\psi}(x) * U(x)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

respectively in order for each product with gauge field to transform in the same way as the original field. The covariant derivative

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - iA_\mu * \psi, \quad D_\mu \hat{\psi} = \partial_\mu \hat{\psi} + i\hat{\psi} * A_\mu, \quad (7)$$

also transforms covariantly in the same way as the original objects. Since the commutative limit leads to the fields with $+1$ charge and -1 charge respectively in ordinary QED, so we call the field ψ in the above a field with $+1$ charge and referred hereafter as “electron” (opposite to the usual convention). Then the action

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \int d^d x (\bar{\psi} * \gamma^\mu i D_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} * \psi) , \quad (8)$$

is invariant under local $U(1)$ symmetry since $\bar{\psi}^1$ behaves in the same manner as $\hat{\psi}$. The field with charge $+1(-1)$ in noncommutative case would correspond to (anti-)fundamental representation in ordinary nonabelian gauge theory. It is also reminiscent of such features that noncommutative gauge theory carries the internal degrees of freedom by imbedding them into the space-time geometry itself. This is the reverse process of the reduction of the space-time degrees of freedom into the internal ones in the large N gauge theory [13]. When we pursue this correspondence further, we are inclined to guess that the higher-rank representation of $SU(N)$ gauge theory may convert into some matter fields in noncommutative gauge theory. It would be the counterpart of the fields of integral multiple of unit charge from the view point of noncommutative generalization of $U(1)$ gauge theory. Actually the adjoint representation corresponds to a field $\chi(x)$ with zero charge in total but transforming in the by-product form

$$\chi(x) \rightarrow \chi'(x) = U(x) * \chi(x) * U^{-1}(x) . \quad (9)$$

Its covariant derivative is given by Moyal bracket. However we cannot find the counterpart of the second-rank antisymmetric representation, etc, of $SU(N)$ gauge theory. The $*$ -product admits only the fields with charge 0, $+1$ or -1 . As a by-product the vacuum expectation value of Wilson loop operator for a rectangular loop becomes associated with the ground state energy acting between two sources of charges, $+1$ and -1 , as usual.

3 Perturbative Aspects in Infrared Side

We are interested in the quantum mechanical aspect of the theory defined by the sum of (1) and (8)

$$S_{\text{NC-QED}} = \int d^d x \left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} * \gamma^\mu i D_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} * \psi \right) . \quad (10)$$

Here we consider the theory on Minkowski space with nonzero C^{23} but vanishing C^{01} in the canonical basis of antisymmetric matrix $C^{\mu\nu}$ for the later purpose of calculating the anomalous magnetic dipole moment.

Perturbation theory begins with rescaling $A_\mu \rightarrow g A_\mu$ and gauge fixing. BRST quantization as in ordinary QCD theory leads the gauge fixing and Faddeev-Popov (FP) terms

$$S_{\text{GF}} = \int d^d x \left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha} \partial_\mu A^\mu * \partial_\nu A^\nu + \frac{1}{2} (i\bar{c} * \partial^\mu D_\mu c - i\partial^\mu D_\mu c * \bar{c}) \right) . \quad (11)$$

Quantization is defined by perturbative expansion due to Feynman rule as was done for NCYM theory in Ref. [11], but now derive from the actions (10) and (11)

¹ To compute the form factor of the on-shell electron coupling to photon we consider a theory in Minkowski space. Thus $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$.

The extra ultraviolet divergent contributions arise in addition to those already appearing in NCYM theory. As we concentrate on reporting on the infrared phenomena in this short article, we postpone to describe the detail about ultraviolet divergence at one-loop level in the future extended volume [14], and state the results only in brief: All the one loop ultraviolet divergence can be subtracted by the local counterterms with maintaining the equalities among various Z factors (wave function renormalization constant, etc.) required from gauge invariance. The β function becomes for N_F number of copies of electron fields

$$\beta(g) = \frac{1}{g} Q \frac{dg}{dQ} = - \left(\frac{22}{3} - \frac{4}{3} N_F \right) \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}. \quad (12)$$

A contribution $\frac{22}{3}$ is due to the structure similar to nonabelian dynamics, SU(2) Yang-Mills theory [11]. However the matter contribution is that found in ordinary QED theory with unit charge, *not* that of the quarks belonging to the fundamental representation of SU(2) gauge theory (in which $\frac{2}{3}$ instead of $\frac{4}{3}$ per flavor found in (12)).

In this analysis there is an important feature that should be kept in mind for the analysis of infrared aspect of the theory. Only *planar* diagrams can have overall divergence. Noncommutativity of the theory manifests itself in the form of a momentum-dependent phase associated with the vertex in Feynman rule. “Planar” diagram is a portion of the contributions which has a definite phase factor, but it only depends on the external momenta, not on any loop momenta. Once loop momentum enters in the phase factor, the suppression factor which depend on the external momentum through $C^{\mu\nu}$ is always induced. This is the same feature already shared by NCYM theory [15, 7, 8]. The explicit two-loop computation similar to Ref. [16] in ϕ^4 theory is welcome to reveal further detail structure of the present theory.

To observe an infrared aspects of the theory, the leading correction to magnetic dipole coupling is estimated. The extraction of dipole coupling in the $\psi\bar{\psi}A_\mu$ vertex function yields

$$\begin{aligned} i \Gamma^\mu(p_I, p_F, q)|_{\text{dipole}} &= ig^3 \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}p_I \cdot C \cdot p_F} H(1, p, q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{\frac{i}{2}p_I \cdot C \cdot p_F} H(0, p, q) - e^{-\frac{i}{2}p_I \cdot C \cdot p_F} H(1, p, q) \right] m i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where q is the incoming photon momentum, and p is connected to the incoming electron momentum p_I and the outgoing electron momentum p_F through

$$p_I = p - \frac{q}{2}, \quad p_F = p + \frac{q}{2}. \quad (14)$$

The matrix $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is here $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$. The function $H(\eta, p, q)$ appearing in (13) is

$$\begin{aligned} H(\eta, p, q) &= \int_0^\infty id\alpha_0 \int_0^\infty id\alpha_+ \int_0^\infty id\alpha_- \frac{1}{[4\pi\beta i]^2} \\ &\quad \times 2 \left(\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\beta} - \left(\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\beta} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[-i \frac{1}{\beta} \left\{ (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)^2 m^2 + \alpha_+ \alpha_- (-q^2) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \eta (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) (p \cdot \tilde{q}) + \eta^2 \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4} \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\beta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_+ + \alpha_-$, and $\tilde{q}^\mu = C^{\mu\nu} q_\nu$ has the dimension of length. $H(0, p, q)$ becomes $\frac{1}{16\pi^2 m^2}$ for on-shell photon. $H(1, p, q)$ can be written in terms of a modified Bessel function of the second kind $K_1(x)$ [17]

$$H(1, p, q) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha_+ \int_0^{(1-\alpha_+)} d\alpha_- \frac{(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) - (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)^2}{(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)^2 m^2 + \alpha_+ \alpha_- (-q^2)} \times e^{i(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)(p \cdot \tilde{q})} x K_1(x), \quad (16)$$

where $x = (-\tilde{q}^2) \{(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)^2 m^2 + \alpha_+ \alpha_- (-q^2)\}$. Since $K_1(x) \sim \frac{1}{x}$ for $x \sim 0$ we can take q^2 and $\tilde{q}^2$ to zero without confronting with any singularities. Thus, for $q^2 = 0$ and $\tilde{q}^2 = 0$, $H(1, p, q)$ is equal to $H(0, p, q)$. Therefore the leading correction to the magnetic dipole moment is the same for ordinary QED and NC-QED. But the non-zero photon momentum in the direction transverse to (2, 3) plane is allowed. Eq. (13) shows that the strength of magnetic dipole coupling is affected for such a photon in general.

We examine the infrared behavior of the renormalized vertex functions, especially the vacuum polarization for photon. As the analysis is lacking even for NCYM theory³, the common contributions, the FP-ghost loop, gauge boson loop are examined here. Taking Feynman gauge for simplicity, they can be written in terms of Schwinger parameterization [19]

$$\begin{aligned} i\Pi_{\text{ghost}+33}^{\mu\nu}(q) &= ig^2 \int_0^\infty id\alpha_+ \int_0^\infty id\alpha_- \frac{1}{(4\pi\beta i)^{d/2}} \exp\left[-i\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\beta}(-q^2)\right] \\ &\times \left[\left(1 - \exp\left[-i\frac{1}{\beta}\frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4}\right]\right) \times \left\{ g^{\mu\nu} \left((3d-4)i\frac{1}{\beta} + \left(5 - 2\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\beta^2}\right) q^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + q^\mu q^\nu \left((d-6) - 4(d-2)\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\beta^2} \right) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \exp\left[-i\frac{1}{\beta}\frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4}\right] \times \frac{1}{\beta^2} \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{q}^2 + (2-d)\tilde{q}^\mu \tilde{q}^\nu \right\} \right], \\ i\Pi_4^{\mu\nu}(q) &= 2(d-1)ig^2 g^{\mu\nu} \int_0^\infty id\alpha \frac{1}{[4\pi\alpha i]^{d/2}} \left(1 - \exp\left[-i\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4}\right]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\beta = \alpha_+ + \alpha_-$. The first quantity in eq. (17) is the contributions from the ghost loop and the gluon loop induced through the two trilinear gauge couplings. The other quantity is due to the quartic self-interaction of gauge boson. The evaluation is similar to that found in Ref. [19]. The exponential factor $\exp[-i/(4\beta\tilde{q}^2)]$ acts as the cutoff for the ultraviolet divergence. The latter quantity in eq. (17) is calculated as:

$$i\Pi_4^{\mu\nu}(q) = i\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{-24}{-\tilde{q}^2}, \quad (18)$$

containing a hard singularity $1/\tilde{q}^2$. It would be cancelled by the term from $\Pi_{\text{ghost}+33}^{\mu\nu}(q)$. To evaluate it we need to perform the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{n+1}} \exp\left(-\rho - \frac{1}{\rho}a^2\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{2a}\frac{d}{da}\right)^n [2K_0(2a)]. \quad (19)$$

² Since we consider the situation that only C^{23} is nonzero, thus there is an on-shell photon with a finite spatial momentum.

³ See also Ref. [18].

where a^2 is proportional to $\tilde{q}^2 q^2$ in the present context. Using the asymptotic behavior of $K_0(x)$ around $x \sim 0$ available in a mathematical literature [17] we can derive the useful formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \exp\left(-\rho - \frac{1}{\rho} a^2\right) &= -\ln(a^2) \left(1 + a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)\right) - 2\gamma_E + (-2\gamma_E + 2)a^2 \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(a^4), \\
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2} \exp\left(-\rho - \frac{1}{\rho} a^2\right) &= \ln(a^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{a^2} + (2\gamma_E - 1) + \left(\gamma_E - \frac{5}{4}\right)a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4), \\
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^3} \exp\left(-\rho - \frac{1}{\rho} a^2\right) &= -\ln(a^2) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)\right) + \frac{1}{a^4} - \frac{1}{a^2} \\
&\quad + \left(-\gamma_E + \frac{3}{4}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\gamma_E + \frac{39}{36}\right)a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4). \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

where γ_E is Euler constant. They allow us to compute the singularity of $\Pi_{\text{ghost}+33}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ for small $\tilde{q}^2$. It has the singular terms in the infrared

$$i\Pi_{\text{ghost}+33}^{\mu\nu}(q) \sim i \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ g^{\mu\nu} \frac{24}{-\tilde{q}^2} + \frac{10}{3} (g^{\mu\nu} q^2 - q^\mu q^\nu) \ln(q^2 \tilde{q}^2) + 32 \frac{\tilde{q}^\mu \tilde{q}^\nu}{\tilde{q}^4} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{q^2}{\tilde{q}^2} \tilde{q}^\mu \tilde{q}^\nu \right\}. \tag{21}$$

Therefore $1/\tilde{q}^2$ -singularity cancels in the sum of (18) and (22)

$$i\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q) \sim i \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{10}{3} (g^{\mu\nu} q^2 - q^\mu q^\nu) \ln(q^2 \tilde{q}^2) + 32 \frac{\tilde{q}^\mu \tilde{q}^\nu}{\tilde{q}^4} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{q^2}{\tilde{q}^2} \tilde{q}^\mu \tilde{q}^\nu \right\}, \tag{22}$$

which is consistent with Slavnov-Taylor identity. The nonplanar contribution would diverge if the integral in eq. (17) were evaluated with $\tilde{q}^2$ set equal to zero. The logarithmic infrared singularity $\ln(\tilde{q}^2)$ in (22) reflects the fact that UV divergence is at most logarithmic⁴. In fact the coefficient $10/3$ of $\ln(\tilde{q}^2)$ is that appears in the wave function renormalization factor of photon in NCYM theory

$$Z_A|_{\text{NCYM}} = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{10}{3} \frac{1}{\varepsilon'}, \tag{23}$$

where $1/\varepsilon' = 1/\varepsilon + \gamma_E - \ln(4\pi)$ for the space-time dimension $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$. The combination $q^2 \tilde{q}^2$ for logarithmic correction assures this.

4 Chiral Gauge Theory

Until now all the fermions are assumed to be Dirac fermions. It is naturally tempted to pursue the extension to chiral gauge theory. The classical analysis given in Sec. 2 is irrelevant to the chiral property of fermion. Thus Weyl fermions can have the charge $+1$ or -1 . The right-handed fermion with $+1$ charge is easily seen to be replaced by its CP conjugate (the left-handed) fermion also in the present context. The chiral gauge theory simply implies that the number of the left-handed fermions with $+1$ charge is not equal to one with -1 . The question is whether such a theory can circumvents a triangle loop anomaly to define a consistent quantum

⁴ D. Bigatti and L. Susskind has argued this structure of singularities [7].

theory or not.

It is easy to see the triangle loop diagram is planar. Once we remind the correspondence between the current theory to ordinary nonabelian gauge system in which the external momentum plays the role of color in Yang-Mills theory, the remained integral is evaluated completely in the same manner as encountered in ordinary nonabelian gauge theory involving the fundamental and/or anti-fundamental Weyl fermions. From this observation, the number of the left-handed fermions with -1 charge has to match with the number of fermions with $+1$ in the system ⁵. Such a theory is vector-like, i.e., noncommutative QED considered until the previous sections.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we attempt to find the noncommutative analogue of QED and argue the perturbative aspects of its infrared dynamics. The anomalous magnetic dipole moment does not change at leading order for the photon moving in the direction along which noncommutativity is irrelevant. However the form factor seems to indicate the possible observation of Lorentz invariance $SO(1,3)$ by controlling the direction of photon although the conventional environment of measurement averages over the direction of photon. In order to discuss quantum aspects of the theory, it would be the best to calculate and investigate the radiatively corrected cross sections for the electron-positron annihilation, Møller scattering, Compton, or photon-photon scattering processes with explicitly specified helicities of the external states.

For the preparation of this analysis, the finite part of vacuum polarization of the photon is calculated to study more about the low momentum behavior. The absence of $1/\tilde{q}^2$ is connected with the absence of quadratic UV divergence. In more detail the magnitude of the infrared singularity is the same as that of logarithmic UV divergence.

The requirement of anomalous diagrams being cancelled in total is too strong for chiral gauge theory to exist in the present context. It is an interesting and important subject to pursue the possibility to relax this requirement.

Note added

During preparation of the paper, we find a preprint [18] reported a few days ago, which discussed the subject partly overlapping with the present paper. The result here coincides with that obtained there.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks especially S. Iso for discussion and suggestion at frequent times and reading manuscript several times. He also thanks L. Susskind for pointing out mistakes in the previous version of the manuscript, and N. Ishibashi, Y. Kitazawa, K. Okuyama and F. Sugino for learning about noncommutative theory, and the colleagues at KEK for sharing common interests in this theory and the various topics at a weekly informal meeting.

⁵ We require that the triangular loop contribution cancels with each other for *all* momentum configuration. But it might be too strong requirement for noncommutative $SU(N)$ gauge theory due to non-factorizability of color and phase factors, as suggested by Y. Kitazawa.

References

- [1] M. Li, “Strings from IIB matrices”, Nucl.Phys. B499 (1997) 149-158, hep-th/9612222.
- [2] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, “Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: Compactification on tori”, JHEP **02**, 003 (1998), hep-th/9711162.
- [3] H. Aoki, N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and T. Tada, “Noncommutative Yang-Mills in IIB matrix model”, hep-th/9908141.
- [4] M. R. Douglas and C. Hull, “D-branes and the noncommutative torus”, JHEP **02**, 008 (1998), hep-th/9711165.
- [5] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry* (Academic Press, 1994).
- [6] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry”, JHEP **09**, 032 (1999), hep-th/9908142; K. Okuyama, “A path integral representation of the map between commutative and noncommutative gauge fields”, hep-th/9910138.
- [7] D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, ”Magnetic fields, branes and noncommutative geometry”, hep-th/9908056.
- [8] N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai and Y. Kitazawa, “Wilson loops in noncommutative Yang-Mills”, hep-th/9910004.
- [9] J. M. Maldacena and J. G. Russo, “Large N limit of non-commutative gauge theories,” JHEP **09**, 025 (1999), hep-th/9908134.
- [10] M. Alishahiha, Y. Oz and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Supergravity and large N noncommutative field theories,” JHEP **11**, 007 (1999), hep-th/9909215.
- [11] C. P. Martin and D. Sanchez-Ruiz, “The one-loop UV divergent structure of U(1) Yang-Mills theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ ”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 476 (1999), hep-th/9903077.
- [12] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “One loop renormalizability of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories on noncommutative two-torus”, JHEP 9906 (1999) 015, hep-th/9903107; T. Krajewski and R. Wulkenhaar, “Perturbative quantum gauge fields on the noncommutative torus”, hep-th/9903187.
- [13] T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, “Reduction of dynamical degrees of freedom in the large N gauge theory”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1063 (1982).
- [14] M. Hayakawa, in preparation.
- [15] T. Filk, “Divergencies in a field theory on quantum space”, Phys. Lett. **B376**, 53 (1996).
- [16] I. Ya. Aref’eva, D. M. Belov and A. S. Koshelev, “Two-Loop Diagrams in Noncommutative ϕ_4^4 theory”, hep-th/9912075.
- [17] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover, 1972).

- [18] S. Minwalla, M. V. Raamsdonk and N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative Perturbative Dynamics”, hep-th/9912072
- [19] C. Itzykson and J-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, 1985).